Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/670,250	COHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Omar Rojas	2874
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) <u>Omar Rojas</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stanley Ferrence III.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 August 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A.		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, and 23		
Prior art documents discussed: Patent No. 5,699,461 to Minemoto et al. and Patent Publication No. 2001/0024547 to Huang et al.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		,
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
An Noja		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner agreed to withdraw the previous restriction requirement. In view of newly discovered prior art, the examiner proposed an amendment to the claims that was agreed upon by Mr. Ferrence. The proposed changes have been incorporated into an attached examiner's amendment..